

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,506	10/31/2003	Vincent Vaccarelli	LEAP:129US	9595
7590 03/01/2005			EXAMINER	
Robert P. Simp	pson, Esq.		NGUYEN,	THONG Q
Simpson & Sim				
5555 Main Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Williamsville, NY 14221-5406			2872	
		DATE MAILED: 03/01/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

CI
VИ
N.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commons	10/698,506	VACCARELLI, VINCENT					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Thong Q. Nguyen	2872					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 De	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 December 2004.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
· — · · · ·	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-4,6 and 8-22 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
_	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
•	7) Claim(s) <u>1-4,6 and 8-22</u> is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		N.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority							
Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau		d III tilis National Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	d.					
	•						
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/698,506 Page 2

Art Unit: 2872

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The present Office action is made in response to the amendment of Dec. 17,
 It is noted that in the mentioned amendment, applicant has made amendments to the specification and the claims.

Regarding to the claims, applicant has made amendments to claims 1, 6, 8-9, 14-15, 18, 20 and 22 and canceled claims 5 and 7. The remaining claims 1-4, 6, and 8-22 are examined in this Office action.

Specification

- 2. The lengthy specification which is amended by the amendment of 12/17/2004 has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.
- 3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: In the amendment to the specification in section [0024], the terms "Fig. 47" should be changed to --Fig. 7--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

- 4. Claims 8-9, 14 and 19 are objected to because of the following informalities.

 Appropriate correction is required.
 - a) In claim 8: the feature "the non-transparent coatings" (lines 1-2) lacks a proper antecedent basis. Applicant should note that the feature related to a non-

Art Unit: 2872

transparent coating is recited in claim 6, not claim 1. Should claim 8 be amended to depend upon claim 6?

- b) In claim 9, the same problem as stated in element a) above is also raised to the claim. In other words, the feature "the non-transparent coatings" (lines 1-2) lacks a proper antecedent basis. Should claim 9 be amended to depend upon claim 6?
- c) In claim 14: the phrase thereof "the least of the non-transparent layers" (lines 1-2) is unclear. Should "the least" in the mentioned feature be changed to --one-- to make clear the feature claimed?
- d) In claim 19: on line 4, "coating" should be changed to –layer--. See lines 3-4 of the claim which recites a non-transparent layer.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 6. Claims 1-4, 8-9 and 14-18, as best as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Robinson, Jr. in view of Domanik et al and Olofson (all of record).

Robinson, Jr. discloses a glass microscope slide having an opaque marking surface formed thereon. The marking surface may be formed on either end of the slide. In other words, in the embodiment as described in columns 2-4 and shown in figs. 3-4, the slide (20) comprises two marking surfaces (24) formed on two opposite ends of the slide and an area defined between the two marking

surfaces, as understood, is used to support a specimen. The marking surface is made by colored material. However, Robinson, Jr. does not clearly disclose that printed information is provided on the marking surface as claimed.

The use of a slide having printed information which is printed directly on an area of a slide or printed in a label and then adhered the label onto an area of a slide wherein the printed information is the information related to the patient identification is known to one skilled in the art as can be seen in the slide provided by Domanik et al. In particular, in columns 3-4 and fig. 3, Domanik et al. disclose a slide (12) having three areas in which the central area is used to support a specimen and one of the areas (20a, 20b) located on a side of the central area is used to support printed information (22) which information is in the form of a bar code (24) readable by a machine and information in the form of characters/numbers (26) readable by a human being. It is noted that the use of area supporting printed information provides a gripping area for handling the slide and thereby leaving no fingerprints on the area supporting the specimen. Thus, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the slide provided by Robinson, Jr. by utilizing printed information formed directly on the marking surface or printed information formed on a label which label is able to adhere to the marking surface as suggested by Domanik et al for the purpose of providing information of a patient having the specimen in the slide wherein the information is readable by either a human being or a machine.

Application/Control Number: 10/698,506

Art Unit: 2872

The combined product as provided by Robinson Jr. and Domanik et al does not clearly disclose that one of the marking surfaces is used for information readable by a machine or a human being and the other marking surface is used to support the information representing the specimen. However, the use of two marking surfaces wherein each marking surface is used to support different information in which one marking surface is used to support information of a specimen of a patient is known to one skilled in the art as can be seen in the slide provided by Olofson. In particular, in columns 2-3 and figs. 1-3, Olofson disclose the use of two marking surfaces wherein one surface is coated by removably coating (14) in which information (28) is provided and another surface area separately from the surface contained the information (28) is used to support personal identification mark (32) such as the name of the user. Thus, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combined product provided by Robinson Jr. and Domanik et al by using different marking areas for supporting different information as suggested by Olofson so that one marking area is used to support information related to a user having the specimen supported by the slide and the other marking surface/area for supporting other information.

Page 5

7. Claims 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Robinson, Jr. in view of Domanik et al and Olofson as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Speelman (of record).

The combined product as provided by Robinson, Jr., Domanik et al and Olofson as described above does not disclose that the slide has four round corners and a surrounding edge of a trapezoidal configuration as claimed in present claims 10 and 13, respectively. However, the use of a slide made by a transparent material and is used to support a specimen wherein the slide has four round corners and a surrounding edge of a trapezoidal configuration is known in the art as can be seen in the slide provided by Speelman. In particular, in columns 1-2 and figs. 1-2. Speelman discloses a slide (10) has four round corners (20) and a surrounding edge (18) so that a use can use the slide for the purpose of smearing a specimen and for reducing any danger of cutting the fingers of the user (see column 1). Thus, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combined product provided by Robinson Jr., Domanik et al and Olofson by making the corners of the slide as round corners and the edge as an edge having a trapezoidal configuration as suggested by Speelman for the purpose of smearing a specimen and for reducing any danger of cutting the fingers of the user.

Regarding to the feature related to the shape of the surrounding edge of the slide, i.e., a round configuration or a triangular configuration, as recited in present claims 11-12, such a recitation is merely that of a preferred embodiment and no criticality has been disclosed. The support for that conclusion is found in the present claim 13 in which applicant has claimed that the surrounding edge of the slide has a trapezoidal configuration. It is also noted that it would have been an

obvious matter of design choice to one skilled in the art to utilize any suitable shape for the edge of the slide for the same purpose since it was decided in the Courts that a change in shape is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. See In re Dailey, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1976).

8. Claims 1, 6 and 19-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Robinson, Jr. in view of Domanik et al, Olofson (all of record) and the prior art described in column 2 of Patent issued to Rosenlof et al (U.S. Patent No. 5,638,459).

Robinson, Jr. discloses a glass microscope slide having an opaque marking surface formed thereon. The marking surface may be formed on either end of the slide. In other words, in the embodiment as described in columns 2-4 and shown in figs. 3-4, the slide (20) comprises two marking surfaces (24) formed on two opposite ends of the slide and an area defined between the two marking surfaces, as understood, is used to support a specimen. The marking surface is made by colored material. However, Robinson, Jr. does not clearly disclose that printed information is provided on the marking surface as claimed.

The use of a slide having printed information which is printed directly on an area of a slide or printed in a label and then adhered the label onto an area of a slide wherein the printed information is the information related to the patient identification is known to one skilled in the art as can be seen in the slide provided by Domanik et al. In particular, in columns 3-4 and fig. 3, Domanik et al disclose a slide (12) having three areas in which the central area is used to

Art Unit: 2872

support a specimen and one of the areas (20a, 20b) located on a side of the central area is used to support printed information (22) which information is in the form of a bar code (24) readable by a machine and information in the form of characters/numbers (26) readable by a human being. It is noted that the use of area supporting printed information provides a gripping area for handling the slide and thereby leaving no fingerprints on the area supporting the specimen.

Thus, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the slide provided by Robinson, Jr. by utilizing printed information formed directly on the marking surface or printed information formed on a label which label is able to adhere to the marking surface as suggested by Domanik et al for the purpose of providing information of a patient having the specimen in the slide wherein the information is readable by either a human being or a machine.

Regarding to the feature related to the so-called "nontransparent coating" as recited in claim 6 and claim 19, while Domanik et al do not clearly state that the label carrying the readable information attached to the microscope slide has a nontransparent feature; however, the feature of nontransparent of the label carrying printed information is inherent from the label carrying printed information such as barcode provided by Domanik et al. If it is not inherent then the use of an opaque label for a microscope slide is recognized or known to one skilled in the art as stated/described in the prior art provided on column 2, lines 1-4 of the Patent issued to Rosenlof et al. Thus, it would have been obvious to one skilled

in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the label carrying readable information provided by Robinson, Jr. and Domanik et al by using an opaque label as suggested by the prior art described on column 2 of the patent issued to Rosenlof et al for carrying the readable information.

The combined product as provided by Robinson Jr., Domanik et al and the prior art described in column 2 of patent issued to Rosenlof et al does not clearly disclose that one of the marking surface is used for information readable by a machine or a human being and the other marking surface is used to support the information representing the specimen. However, the use of two marking surfaces wherein each marking surface is used to support different information in which one marking surface is used to support information of a specimen of a patient is known to one skilled in the art as can be seen in the slide provided by Olofson. In particular, in columns 2-3 and figs. 1-3, Olofson disclose the use of two marking surfaces wherein one surface is coated by removably coating (14) in which information (28) is provided and another surface area separately from the surface contained the information (28) is used to support personal identification mark (32) such as the name of the user. Thus, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combined product provided by Robinson Jr., Domanik et al and the prior art described in column 2 of patent issued to Rosenlof et al by using different marking areas for supporting different information as suggested by Olofson so that one marking area is used to support information related to a user having the specimen

Application/Control Number: 10/698,506 Page 10

Art Unit: 2872

supported by the slide and the other marking surface/area for supporting other information.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4, 6, and 8-22 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thong Q Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-2316. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew A Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-2312. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thong Q Nguyen Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2872